EXPLORE HOW WE MEASURE

America's health security is influenced by many factors. The Index analyzes the most important measures of preparedness and identifies our collective strengths, and the opportunities to better prepare and keep a nation safe.

PREPARED

UNPREPARED

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

8 1
INCIDENT & INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

6 5

HEALTHCARE DELIVERY

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

6 7

ENVIRONMENTAL & OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH

COUNTERMEASURE MANAGEMENT

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

COMMUNITY PLANNING & ENGAGEMENT

T 8

HEALTH SECURITY SURVEILLANCE

NATIONAL

ALABAMA

The overall preparedness level in Alabama stands at 7.0, which is in the bottom one-third of U.S. states and is below the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Alabama falls into the 3rd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Alabama shows above-average results in 1 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 3 of these domains.

Alabama falls within the top one-third of states in the area of Countermeasure Management. Alabama falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Community Planning & Engagement, Health Care Delivery, and Environmental & Occupational Health, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL


7.0

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



ALASKA

The overall preparedness level in Alaska stands at 6.5, which is in the bottom one-third of U.S. states and is below the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show aboveaverage levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Alaska falls into the 3rd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Alaska shows above-average results in none of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 5 of these domains.

Alaska falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Health Security Surveillance, Incident & Information Management, Health Care Delivery, Countermeasure Management, and Environmental & Occupational Health, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



DOWNLOAD SUMMARY REPORT

HEALTH SECURITY SURVEILLANCE



COMMUNITY PLANNING & ENGAGEMENT

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL 5.3-8.8 NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS RANGE



INCIDENT & INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

NATIONAL 6-9-5 NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS RANGE



HEALTHCARE DELIVERY

NATIONAL STATEMENT STATEME



COUNTERMEASURE MANAGEMENT

7.5-9.7



ENVIRONMENTAL & OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8



ARIZONA

The overall preparedness level in Arizona stands at 7.0, which is in the bottom one-third of U.S. states and is below the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Arizona falls into the 3rd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Arizona shows above-average results in none of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 4 of these domains.

Arizona falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Health Security Surveillance, Community Planning & Engagement, Countermeasure Management, and Environmental & Occupational Health, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5 - 8.4

ENVIRONMENTAL & OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



ARKANSAS

The overall preparedness level in Arkansas stands at 6.7, which is in the bottom one-third of U.S. states and is below the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Arkansas falls into the 3rd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Arkansas shows above-average results in none of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 4 of these domains.

Arkansas falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Health Security Surveillance, Community Planning & Engagement, Health Care Delivery, and Environmental & Occupational Health, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.


STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

6.7

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5 - 8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



CALIFORNIA

The overall preparedness level in California stands at 7.6, which is in the middle one-third of U.S. states and is in line with the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. California falls into the 2nd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, California shows above-average results in 2 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in none of these domains.

California falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Community Planning & Engagement, and Countermeasure Management. California falls in the bottom one-third of states in no domains.


STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

7.6

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5 - 8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



COLORADO

The overall preparedness level in Colorado stands at 7.6, which is in the middle one-third of U.S. states and is in line with the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Colorado falls into the 2nd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Colorado shows above-average results in 3 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 1 of these domains.

Colorado falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Community Planning & Engagement, Incident & Information Management, and Environmental & Occupational Health. Colorado falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domain of Health Security Surveillance, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

7.6

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

7.5

DOWNLOAD SUMMARY REPORT



COMMUNITY PLANNING & ENGAGEMENT

6.7 NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

5.3-8.8 NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS RANGE



HEALTHCARE DELIVERY

6.5 NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL 5.5-7.4 NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS RANGE

Sil Countermeasure management

9.1 NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL 7.5-9.7 NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS RANGE

V

7.5 ENVIRONMENTAL & OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH
6.7 NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL 3.5-9.8 NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS RANGE

CONNECTICUT

The overall preparedness level in Connecticut stands at 8.1, which is in the top one-third of U.S. states and is above the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Connecticut falls into the 1st Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Connecticut shows above-average results in 3 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 1 of these domains.

Connecticut falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Health Security Surveillance, Community Planning & Engagement, and Health Care Delivery. Connecticut falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domain of Countermeasure Management, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL


8.1

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



DELAWARE

The overall preparedness level in Delaware stands at 7.5, which is in the middle one-third of U.S. states and is in line with the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Delaware falls into the 2nd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Delaware shows above-average results in 3 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 1 of these domains.

Delaware falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Incident & Information Management, Health Care Delivery, and Countermeasure Management. Delaware falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domain of Community Planning & Engagement, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL


7.5

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



FLORIDA

The overall preparedness level in Florida stands at 7.3, which is in the middle one-third of U.S. states and is in line with the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Florida falls into the 2nd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Florida shows above-average results in none of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 2 of these domains.

Florida falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Countermeasure Management, and Environmental & Occupational Health, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.


STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

7.3

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5 - 8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



GEORGIA

The overall preparedness level in Georgia stands at 6.6, which is in the bottom one-third of U.S. states and is below the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Georgia falls into the 3rd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Georgia shows above-average results in none of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 5 of these domains.

Georgia falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Community Planning & Engagement, Incident & Information Management, Health Care Delivery, Countermeasure Management, and Environmental & Occupational Health, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

6.6


NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

ENVIRONMENTAL & OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



HAWAII

The overall preparedness level in Hawaii stands at 7.2, which is in the bottom one-third of U.S. states and is below the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Hawaii falls into the 3rd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Hawaii shows above-average results in 2 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 2 of these domains.

Hawaii falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Health Security Surveillance, and Health Care Delivery. Hawaii falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Incident & Information Management, and Environmental & Occupational Health, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

7.2


NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

ENVIRONMENTAL & OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



IDAHO

The overall preparedness level in Idaho stands at 6.9, which is in the bottom one-third of U.S. states and is below the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show aboveaverage levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Idaho falls into the 3rd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Idaho shows above-average results in none of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 4 of these domains.

Idaho falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Health Security Surveillance, Incident & Information Management, Health Care Delivery, and Countermeasure Management, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.


STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5 - 8.4

ENVIRONMENTAL & OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



ILLINOIS

The overall preparedness level in Illinois stands at 7.2, which is in the bottom one-third of U.S. states and is below the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Illinois falls into the 3rd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Illinois shows above-average results in 1 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 3 of these domains.

Illinois falls within the top one-third of states in the area of Incident & Information Management. Illinois falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Community Planning & Engagement, Health Care Delivery, and Countermeasure Management, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL


7.2

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



INDIANA

The overall preparedness level in Indiana stands at 7.8, which is in the top one-third of U.S. states and is above the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Indiana falls into the 1st Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Indiana shows above-average results in 2 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 2 of these domains.

Indiana falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Health Security Surveillance, and Environmental & Occupational Health. Indiana falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Health Care Delivery, and Countermeasure Management, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.


STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

7.8

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5 - 8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



IOWA

The overall preparedness level in lowa stands at 7.9, which is in the top one-third of U.S. states and is above the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Iowa falls into the 1st Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, lowa shows above-average results in 2 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 1 of these domains.

lowa falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Countermeasure Management, and Environmental & Occupational Health. lowa falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domain of Community Planning & Engagement, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.


STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

7.9

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5 - 8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



KANSAS

The overall preparedness level in Kansas stands at 7.7, which is in the top one-third of U.S. states and is above the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Kansas falls into the 1st Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Kansas shows above-average results in 2 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 2 of these domains.

Kansas falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Community Planning & Engagement, and Environmental & Occupational Health.


Kansas falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Incident & Information Management, and Countermeasure Management, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5 - 8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



KENTUCKY

The overall preparedness level in Kentucky stands at 7.7, which is in the top one-third of U.S. states and is above the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Kentucky falls into the 1st Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Kentucky shows above-average results in 2 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in none of these domains.


Kentucky falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Health Security Surveillance, and Environmental & Occupational Health. Kentucky falls in the bottom one-third of states in no domains.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



LOUSIANA

The overall preparedness level in Louisiana stands at 6.9, which is in the bottom one-third of U.S. states and is below the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show aboveaverage levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Louisiana falls into the 3rd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Louisiana shows above-average results in none of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 4 of these domains.

Louisiana falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Health Security Surveillance, Community Planning & Engagement, Incident & Information Management, and Health Care Delivery, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

■ DOWNLOAD SUMMARY REPORT

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5 - 8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

STATE SUMMARY

HEALTH SECURITY SURVEILLANCE

COMMUNITY PLANNING & ENGAGEMENT 5.6

5.3-8.8

INCIDENT & INFORMATION MANAGEMENT 6.6 - 9.5

HEALTHCARE DELIVERY

5.5 - 7.4

COUNTERMEASURE MANAGEMENT

ENVIRONMENTAL & OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH

MAINE

The overall preparedness level in Maine stands at 7.8, which is in the top one-third of U.S. states and is above the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Maine falls into the 1st Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Maine shows above-average results in 3 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 2 of these domains.

Maine falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Health Care Delivery, Countermeasure Management, and Environmental & Occupational Health. Maine falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Community Planning & Engagement, and Incident & Information Management, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.


STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

7.8

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5 - 8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



MARYLAND

The overall preparedness level in Maryland stands at 8.1, which is in the top one-third of U.S. states and is above the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Maryland falls into the 1st Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Maryland shows above-average results in 4 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in none of these domains.

Maryland falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Incident & Information Management, Health Care Delivery, Countermeasure Management, and Environmental & Occupational Health. Maryland falls in the bottom one-third of states in no domains.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL


8-1

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

3.5 - 9.8

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



MASSACHUSETTS

The overall preparedness level in Massachusetts stands at 7.6, which is in the middle one-third of U.S. states and is in line with the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Massachusetts falls into the 2nd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Massachusetts shows above-average results in 4 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 1 of these domains.

Massachusetts falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Health Security Surveillance, Community Planning & Engagement, Health Care Delivery, and Countermeasure Management. Massachusetts falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domain of Environmental & Occupational Health, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL


7.6

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



MICHIGAN

The overall preparedness level in Michigan stands at 7.3, which is in the middle one-third of U.S. states and is in line with the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Michigan falls into the 2nd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Michigan shows above-average results in 1 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 2 of these domains.

Michigan falls within the top one-third of states in the area of Health Security Surveillance. Michigan falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Incident & Information Management, and Environmental & Occupational Health, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL


7.3

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



MINNESOTA

The overall preparedness level in Minnesota stands at 7.9, which is in the top one-third of U.S. states and is above the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Minnesota falls into the 1st Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Minnesota shows above-average results in 3 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in none of these domains.

Minnesota falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Community Planning & Engagement, Health Care Delivery, and Environmental & Occupational Health. Minnesota falls in the bottom one-third of states in no domains.


STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

7.9

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5 - 8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



MISSISSIPPI

The overall preparedness level in Mississippi stands at 7.1, which is in the bottom one-third of U.S. states and is below the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Mississippi falls into the 3rd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Mississippi shows above-average results in none of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 5 of these domains.

Mississippi falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Health Security Surveillance, Incident & Information Management, Health Care Delivery, Countermeasure Management, and Environmental & Occupational Health, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL


NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

ENVIRONMENTAL & OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



MISSOURI

The overall preparedness level in Missouri stands at 7.6, which is in the middle one-third of U.S. states and is in line with the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Missouri falls into the 2nd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Missouri shows above-average results in 3 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 1 of these domains.

Missouri falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Health Security Surveillance, Health Care Delivery, and Countermeasure Management. Missouri falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domain of Incident & Information Management, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.


STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

7.6

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5 - 8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



MONTANA

The overall preparedness level in Montana stands at 6.9, which is in the bottom one-third of U.S. states and is below the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Montana falls into the 3rd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Montana shows above-average results in none of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 5 of these domains.

Montana falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Health Security Surveillance, Community Planning & Engagement, Incident & Information Management, Health Care Delivery, and Countermeasure Management, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL


6.9

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



NEBRASKA

The overall preparedness level in Nebraska stands at 7.8, which is in the top one-third of U.S. states and is above the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Nebraska falls into the 1st Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Nebraska shows above-average results in 3 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in none of these domains.

Nebraska falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Health Security Surveillance, Health Care Delivery, and Countermeasure Management. Nebraska falls in the bottom one-third of states in no domains.


STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

7.8

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



NEVADA

The overall preparedness level in Nevada stands at 6.7, which is in the bottom one-third of U.S. states and is below the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show aboveaverage levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Nevada falls into the 3rd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Nevada shows above-average results in 1 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 5 of these domains.

Nevada falls within the top one-third of states in the area of Environmental & Occupational Health. Nevada falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Health Security Surveillance, Community Planning & Engagement, Incident & Information Management, Health Care Delivery, and Countermeasure Management, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5 - 8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

STATE SUMMARY

DOWNLOAD SUMMARY REPORT

HEALTH SECURITY SURVEILLANCE



COMMUNITY PLANNING & ENGAGEMENT

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL 5.3-8.8 NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS RANGE



INCIDENT & INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL 6 - 9 5 NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS RANGE



HEALTHCARE DELIVERY

NATIONAL STATEMENT STATEME



COUNTERMEASURE MANAGEMENT

7.5 - 9.7



ENVIRONMENTAL & OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8



NEW HAMPSHIRE

The overall preparedness level in New Hampshire stands at 7.5, which is in the middle one-third of U.S. states and is in line with the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. New Hampshire falls into the 2nd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, New Hampshire shows above-average results in 2 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 1 of these domains.

New Hampshire falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Incident & Information Management, and Countermeasure Management. New Hampshire falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domain of Health Security Surveillance, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL


7.5

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



NEW JERSEY

The overall preparedness level in New Jersey stands at 7.5, which is in the middle one-third of U.S. states and is in line with the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. New Jersey falls into the 2nd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, New Jersey shows above-average results in 3 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 2 of these domains.

New Jersey falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Community Planning & Engagement, Incident & Information Management, and Countermeasure Management. New Jersey falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Health Security Surveillance, and Health Care Delivery, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL


7.5

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



NEW MEXICO

The overall preparedness level in New Mexico stands at 7.6, which is in the middle one-third of U.S. states and is in line with the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. New Mexico falls into the 2nd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, New Mexico shows above-average results in 2 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 1 of these domains.

New Mexico falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Health Security Surveillance, and Incident & Information Management. New Mexico falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domain of Community Planning & Engagement, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

7.6


NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

ENVIRONMENTAL & OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



NEWYORK

The overall preparedness level in New York stands at 8.2, which is in the top one-third of U.S. states and is above the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. New York falls into the 1st Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, New York shows above-average results in all of these domains, while it shows below-average results in none of these domains.

New York falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Health Security Surveillance, Community Planning & Engagement, Incident & Information Management, Health Care Delivery, Countermeasure Management, and Environmental & Occupational Health. New York falls in the bottom one-third of states in no domains.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

8.2


NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

ENVIRONMENTAL & OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



NORTH CAROLINA

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8

The overall preparedness level in North Carolina stands at 7.6, which is in the middle one-third of U.S. states and is in line with the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. North Carolina falls into the 2nd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, North Carolina shows above-average results in 2 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 1 of these domains.

North Carolina falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Health Security Surveillance, and Incident & Information Management. North Carolina falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domain of Countermeasure Management, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.


STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

7.6

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



NORTH DAKOTA

The overall preparedness level in North Dakota stands at 7.7, which is in the top one-third of U.S. states and is above the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. North Dakota falls into the 1st Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, North Dakota shows above-average results in 4 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 1 of these domains.

North Dakota falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Community Planning & Engagement, Incident & Information Management, Health Care Delivery, and Countermeasure Management. North Dakota falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domain of Health Security Surveillance, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.


STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



OHIO

The overall preparedness level in Ohio stands at 7.0, which is in the bottom one-third of U.S. states and is below the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Ohio falls into the 3rd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Ohio shows above-average results in 1 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 3 of these domains.

Ohio falls within the top one-third of states in the area of Health Care Delivery. Ohio falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Health Security Surveillance, Incident & Information Management, and Environmental & Occupational Health, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

7.0


NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

ENVIRONMENTAL & OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



OKLAHOMA

The overall preparedness level in Oklahoma stands at 7.6, which is in the middle one-third of U.S. states and is in line with the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Oklahoma falls into the 2nd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Oklahoma shows above-average results in 2 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 2 of these domains.

Oklahoma falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Countermeasure Management, and Environmental & Occupational Health.

Oklahoma falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Incident & Information Management, and Health Care Delivery, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL


7.6

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

7.5



COUNTERMEASURE MANAGEMENT

9.1 NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL 7.5-9.7 NAT PRE

NATIONAL STATEMENT STATEME

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS RANGE

8.1

ENVIRONMENTAL & OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH

6.7 NATIONAL STEPAREDNESS LEVEL 3.5-9.8

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS RANG



OREGON

The overall preparedness level in Oregon stands at 7.1, which is in the bottom one-third of U.S. states and is below the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Oregon falls into the 3rd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Oregon shows above-average results in 2 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 2 of these domains.

Oregon falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Community Planning & Engagement, and Health Care Delivery. Oregon falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Countermeasure Management, and Environmental & Occupational Health, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.


STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



PENNSYLVANIA

The overall preparedness level in Pennsylvania stands at 7.3, which is in the middle one-third of U.S. states and is in line with the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Pennsylvania falls into the 2nd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Pennsylvania shows above-average results in 4 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 1 of these domains.

Pennsylvania falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Health Security Surveillance, Incident & Information Management, Health Care Delivery, and Countermeasure Management. Pennsylvania falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domain of Environmental & Occupational Health, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL


7.3

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



RHODEISLAND

The overall preparedness level in Rhode Island stands at 7.7, which is in the top one-third of U.S. states and is above the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show aboveaverage levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Rhode Island falls into the 1st Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Rhode Island shows above-average results in 4 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 2 of these domains.

Rhode Island falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Community Planning & Engagement, Incident & Information Management, Health Care Delivery, and Countermeasure Management. Rhode Island falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Health Security Surveillance, and Environmental & Occupational Health, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5 - 8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

STATE SUMMARY

HEALTH SECURITY SURVEILLANCE

COMMUNITY PLANNING & ENGAGEMENT

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL 5.3-8.8 NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS RANGE



INCIDENT & INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL 6 - 9 5 NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS RANG



DOWNLOAD SUMMARY REPORT

HEALTHCARE DELIVERY

NATIONAL STEPAREDNESS LEVEL 5.5-7.4



COUNTERMEASURE MANAGEMENT

7.5-9.7



ENVIRONMENTAL & OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8



SOUTH CAROLINA

The overall preparedness level in South Carolina stands at 8.1, which is in the top one-third of U.S. states and is above the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show aboveaverage levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. South Carolina falls into the 1st Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, South Carolina shows above-average results in 3 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 3 of these domains.

South Carolina falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Health Security Surveillance, Incident & Information Management, and Environmental & Occupational Health. South Carolina falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Community Planning & Engagement, Health Care Delivery, and Countermeasure Management, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5 - 8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

STATE SUMMARY

DOWNLOAD SUMMARY REPORT

HEALTH SECURITY SURVEILLANCE



COMMUNITY PLANNING & ENGAGEMENT

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL 5.3-8.8 NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS RANGE



INCIDENT & INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL 6 - 9 5 NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS RANG



HEALTHCARE DELIVERY

NATIONAL 5.5-7.4



COUNTERMEASURE MANAGEMENT

7.5-9.7



ENVIRONMENTAL & OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8



SOUTH DAKOTA

The overall preparedness level in South Dakota stands at 7.3, which is in the middle one-third of U.S. states and is in line with the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. South Dakota falls into the 2nd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, South Dakota shows above-average results in 2 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 2 of these domains.

South Dakota falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Countermeasure Management, and Environmental & Occupational Health. South Dakota falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Community Planning & Engagement, and Incident & Information Management, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

7.3


NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

ENVIRONMENTAL & OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



TENNESSEE

The overall preparedness level in Tennessee stands at 7.6, which is in the middle one-third of U.S. states and is in line with the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Tennessee falls into the 2nd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Tennessee shows above-average results in 2 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 3 of these domains.

Tennessee falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Incident & Information Management, and Countermeasure Management.

Tennessee falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Health Security Surveillance, Community Planning & Engagement, and Health Care Delivery, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

7.6

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

ENVIRONMENTAL & OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



TEXAS

The overall preparedness level in Texas stands at 7.3, which is in the middle one-third of U.S. states and is in line with the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show aboveaverage levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Texas falls into the 2nd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Texas shows above-average results in 1 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 3 of these domains.

Texas falls within the top one-third of states in the area of Health Security Surveillance. Texas falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Community Planning & Engagement, Health Care Delivery, and Countermeasure Management, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

STATE SUMMARY

DOWNLOAD SUMMARY REPORT

HEALTH SECURITY SURVEILLANCE



COMMUNITY PLANNING & ENGAGEMENT

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL 5.3-8.8 NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS RANGE



INCIDENT & INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

NATIONAL 6-9-5 NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS RANGE



HEALTHCARE DELIVERY

NATIONAL STATEMENT STATEME



COUNTERMEASURE MANAGEMENT

7.5-9.7



ENVIRONMENTAL & OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8



UTAH

The overall preparedness level in Utah stands at 8.3, which is in the top one-third of U.S. states and is above the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Utah falls into the 1st Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Utah shows above-average results in 3 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 2 of these domains.

Utah falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Community Planning & Engagement, Incident & Information Management, and Environmental & Occupational Health. Utah falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Health Care Delivery, and Countermeasure Management, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.


STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

8.3

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



VERMONT

The overall preparedness level in Vermont stands at 8.0, which is in the top one-third of U.S. states and is above the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Vermont falls into the 1st Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Vermont shows above-average results in 4 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in none of these domains.

Vermont falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Community Planning & Engagement, Incident & Information Management, Health Care Delivery, and Countermeasure Management. Vermont falls in the bottom one-third of states in no domains.


STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

8.0

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



VIRGINIA

The overall preparedness level in Virginia stands at 8.4, which is in the top one-third of U.S. states and is above the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show aboveaverage levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Virginia falls into the 1st Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Virginia shows above-average results in all of these domains, while it shows below-average results in none of these domains.


Virginia falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Health Security Surveillance, Community Planning & Engagement, Incident & Information Management, Health Care Delivery, Countermeasure Management, and Environmental & Occupational Health. Virginia falls in the bottom one-third of states in no domains.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5 - 8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



WASHINGTON

The overall preparedness level in Washington stands at 7.5, which is in the middle one-third of U.S. states and is in line with the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Washington falls into the 2nd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Washington shows above-average results in 1 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 2 of these domains.

Washington falls within the top one-third of states in the area of Community Planning & Engagement. Washington falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Health Care Delivery, and Environmental & Occupational Health, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL


7.5

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



WEST VIRGINIA

The overall preparedness level in West Virginia stands at 7.3, which is in the middle one-third of U.S. states and is in line with the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. West Virginia falls into the 2nd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, West Virginia shows above-average results in 1 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 3 of these domains.

West Virginia falls within the top one-third of states in the area of Health Care Delivery. West Virginia falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Health Security Surveillance, Incident & Information Management, and Environmental & Occupational Health, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

7.3


NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

ENVIRONMENTAL & OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



WISCONSIN

The overall preparedness level in Wisconsin stands at 8.0, which is in the top one-third of U.S. states and is above the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Wisconsin falls into the 1st Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Wisconsin shows above-average results in 3 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 2 of these domains.

Wisconsin falls within the top one-third of states in the areas of Community Planning & Engagement, Health Care Delivery, and Environmental & Occupational Health. Wisconsin falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Health Security Surveillance, and Incident & Information Management, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL


8.0

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8
PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL



WYOMING

The overall preparedness level in Wyoming stands at 7.2, which is in the bottom one-third of U.S. states and is below the national average level of 7.5.

States can be sorted into three equally-sized groups, called Tertiles, based on their overall Index results. States in the 1st Tertile show above-average levels of preparedness, and those in the 3rd Tertile show below-average levels of preparedness. States in the 2nd (middle) Tertile have preparedness levels in line with the national average. Wyoming falls into the 3rd Tertile.

States also can be grouped into Tertiles based on each of the 6 domains of preparedness used in this year's Index. Based on Tertile groupings, Wyoming shows above-average results in 1 of these domains, while it shows below-average results in 3 of these domains.

Wyoming falls within the top one-third of states in the area of Community Planning & Engagement. Wyoming falls in the bottom one-third of states in the domains of Incident & Information Management, Countermeasure Management, and Environmental & Occupational Health, representing especially important areas to target for improvement.

STATE PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

7

NATIONAL LEVEL RANGE

6.5-8.4

ENVIRONMENTAL & OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH

NATIONAL 3.5-9.8
PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS LEVEL

